

VOLUME 9, ISSUE 2 JULY 2018 SUPPLEMENT



JOURNAL OF NURSING EDUCATION



The NCSBN National Nursing Guidelines for Medical Marijuana



E - C

Maryann Alexander, PhD, RN, FAAN
Chief Officer, Nursing Regulation
National Council of State Boards of Nursing
Chicago, Illinois

President-elect

Julia George, MSN, RN, FRE

Treasurer

Gloria Damgaard, MS, RN, FRE

Area I Director

Cynthia LaBonde, MN, RN

Area II Director

Adrian Guerrero, CPM

Area III Director

Jim Cleghorn, MA

Area IV Director

Valerie J. Fuller, PhD, DNP, AGACNP-BC,
FNP-BC, FAANP, FNAP

Director-at-Large

Elizabeth Lund, MSN, RN

Director-at-Large

Karen Scipio-Skinner, MSN, RN

Director-at-Large

Valerie Smith, MS, RN, FRE

Director-at-Large

Lori Scheidt, MBA-HCM

The Journal of Nursing Regulation is a quarterly, peer-reviewed
professional journal published by Elsevier and supported by the National
Council of State Boards of Nursing (NCSBN), a not-for-profit
organization. NCSBN can be contacted at:

111 East Wacker Drive, Suite 2900
Chicago, IL 60601-4277
Telephone: 1-312-525-3600
Fax: 1-312-279-1032
<https://www.ncsbn.org>

Copyright © 2018. Produced and printed in the USA. All rights reserved.
No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any
form, whole or in part, without the permission of the copyright holder,
the National Council of State Boards of Nursing.

Disclaimer

The Journal of Nursing Regulation is a peer-reviewed journal.
Statements, views, and opinions are solely those of the authors and
persons quoted. Such views do not necessarily reflect those of the
publisher. The publisher disclaims all responsibility for any errors or
any injuries to persons or properties resulting from the use of information
or advertisements contained in the journal.

Subscription, advertising, reprints



E A B

Mohammed A. Arsiwala, MD
President
Michigan Urgent Care
Livonia, Michigan

Kathy Bettinardi-Angres, APN-BC, MS,
RN, CADC
Professional Assessment Coordinator,
Positive Sobriety Institute
Adjunct Faculty, Rush University
Department of Nursing
Chicago, Illinois

Shirley A. Brekken, MS, RN, FAAN
Executive Director
Minnesota Board of Nursing
Minneapolis, Minnesota

Nancy J. Brent, MS, JD, RN
Attorney At Law
Wilmette, Illinois

Sean Clarke, RN, PhD, FAAN
Professor and Associate Dean, Undergraduate
Program
William F. Connell School of Nursing
Boston College
Chestnut Hill, Massachusetts

Anne Coghlan, MScN, RN
Executive Director and Chief Executive
Officer
College of Nurses of Ontario
Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Sandra Evans, MA Ed, RN
Executive Director
Idaho Board of Nursing
Boise, Idaho

Suzanne Feetham, PhD, RN, FAAN
Nursing Research Consultant
Children's National Medical Center
Washington, DC
Visiting Professor
University of Wisconsin
Milwaukee, Wisconsin

Patty Knecht, PhD, RN, ANEF
Vice President, Integration Services
ATI Nursing Education/Ascend Learning
Leawood, Kansas

MT Meadows, DNP, RN, MS, MBA
Director of Professional Practice, AONE
Executive Director, AONE Foundation
Chicago, Illinois

Paula R. Meyer, MSN, RN
Executive Director
Washington State Department of Health
Nursing Care Quality Assurance
Commission
Olympia, Washington

Barbara Morvant, MN, RN
Regulatory Policy Consultant
Baton Rouge, Louisiana

Ann L. O'Sullivan, PhD, CRNP, FAAN
Professor of Primary Care Nursing
Dorothy Hildegarde Reynolds Endowed Term
Professor of Primary Care Nursing
University of Pennsylvania
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Pamela J. Para, RN, MPH, CPHRM,
ARM, DFASHRM
Risk and Regulatory Specialist
Chicago, Illinois

Carolyn Reed RN, MA, FCNA
Chief Executive/Registrar
Nursing Council of New Zealand
Wellington, New Zealand

Carol A. Romano, PhD, RN, FACMI,
FAAN
Dean and Professor
Uniformed Services University of the Health
Sciences, Daniel K. Inouye Graduate
School of Nursing
Bethesda, Maryland

Linda R. Rounds, PhD, RN, FNP,
FAANP
Professor/Betty Lee Evans Distinguished
Professor of Nursing University of Texas
Medical Branch School of Nursing
Galveston, Texas

CONTENTS

J • V • I • S



Advancing nursing excellence
for public protection

Mission

The Journal of Nursing Regulation provides a worldwide forum for sharing research, evidence-based practice, and innovative strategies and solutions related to nursing regulation, with the ultimate goal of safeguarding the public. The journal maintains and promotes National Council

The NCSBN National Nursing Guidelines for Medical Marijuana

Prior to 1936, cannabis was sold over the counter and used commonly for a variety of illnesses in the United States (Marijuana Policy Project, 2014). By 1936, every state had passed a law to restrict possession of cannabis, thus eliminating its availability as an over-the-counter drug. Then in 1970, the Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act (1970) provided a classification of controlled substances; cannabis was included in the list of Schedule I Controlled Substances, thereby continuing the prohibition of the use of cannabis by prohibiting health care practitioners from prescribing cannabis.

Use of cannabis remained restricted until the first legalization of medical marijuana was approved by voters in California in 1996. Even after the voters' approval, the federal government opposed the proposition and threatened to revoke the prescription-writing abilities of doctors who recommended or prescribed marijuana. It was not until 2000 that a group of physicians challenged this policy and prevailed in court, and a decision was made to allow physicians to recommend—but not prescribe—medical marijuana (Marijuana Policy Project, 2014).

Since then, an increasing cultural acceptance of cannabis has prompted 31 jurisdictions (including the District of Columbia), Guam, Puerto Rico (National Conference of State Legislatures [NCSL], 2017), and all provinces/territories of Canada (Government of Canada, 2016) to pass legislation legalizing medical cannabis. In these laws, the jurisdiction has adopted exemptions legalizing the use of cannabis for medical purposes. An increasing proportion of jurisdictions have also

Current Legislation, Scientific Literature Review, and Nursing Implications

The surge of cannabis legislation has outpaced research on the use of cannabis due to the restrictions placed on the substance as a result of its classification as a Schedule I Controlled Substance (Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act, 1970). Nurses are left without evidence-based resources when caring for patients who use medical or recreational cannabis products. Research is possible, but only under rigorous oversight from the government. The process for obtaining clearance for federally funded research purposes is cumbersome and unlike any other procedures for drug research.

Importantly, the reader must be aware that cannabis as a therapeutic agent has not been reviewed by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to determine if it is safe or effective and therefore is not subject to the quality standards and safety information collection standards that are applicable to most prescription drugs. This report provides a means to inform nurses about current scientific literature regarding medical use of cannabis as well as areas that currently lack scientific evidence.

It was not until 1973 that scientists discovered how cannabis functioned within the body – as a component of the endocannabinoid system. The endocannabinoid system consists of endocannabinoids, cannabinoid receptors, and the enzymes responsible for synthesis and degradation of endocannabinoids (Mackie, 2008). These cannabinoid receptors are evident throughout the body, embedded in cell membranes thought to promote homeostasis. Endocannabinoids are naturally occurring substances within the body, while phytocannabinoids are plant substances found in cannabis that stimulate cannabinoid receptors. The most well-known of these phytocannabinoids is tetrahydrocannabinol (THC); however cannabidiol (CBD) and cannabinol (CBN) are also of great attention (Pacher, Batkai, & Kunos, 2006).

Notwithstanding the restrictions resulting from the classification of cannabis as a Schedule I Controlled Substance, high-quality clinical evidence has emerged that establishes the efficacy of cannabis for certain therapeutic applications. However, despite describing the value of cannabis in the treatment of certain conditions, its safety has not been fully established by large-scale randomized clinical trials. Some safety information does exist for cannabis (Ware et al., 2015), but the current research does not fully address clinical research on the safety of cannabis.

The federal government's position on prosecuting the use of cannabis that is legal under the law of the applicable jurisdiction has been set out in U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) position papers. In 2009, the U.S. Attorney General took a position that discouraged federal prosecutors from prosecuting people who distribute or use cannabis for medical purposes in compliance with the law of the applicable jurisdiction (U.S. Department of Justice [DOJ], 2009); further similar guidance was given in 2011, 2013, and 2014 (DOJ, 2011, 2013, 2014). In January 2018, the U.S. Office of the Attorney General rescinded the previous national guidance specific to marijuana enforcement (DOJ, 2018). The 2018 memorandum provides that federal prosecutors follow well-established principles in deciding which cases to prosecute, namely, the prosecution is to weigh all relevant considerations, including priorities set by the attorneys general, seriousness of the crime, deterrent effect of criminal prosecution, and cumulative impact of particular crimes on the community.

Numerous well-established principles have been introduced in U.S. marijuana enforcement guidance in the medical marijuana field.

employee of a hospice provider or nursing or medical facility, or a visiting nurse, personal care attendant, or home health aide, or a family member, or a volunteer, or a caregiver, or a designated caregiver for the administration of medical marijuana (NCSL, 2017).

As Table 2 demonstrates, jurisdictional legislation regarding cannabis is an ever-evolving process. This summary is current as of June 2018.

TABLE 2

in individuals with sleep disturbance associated with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome, fibromyalgia, chronic pain, and multiple sclerosis” (National Academies, 2017).

The reports published by the National Academy of Sciences and WHO broadly addressed the evidence for the effects of medical cannabis. However, these two reports did not highlight material immediately useful for practicing health care workers such as dosage, administration, drug interactions, jurisdiction statutes, and evidence supporting jurisdictional qualifying conditions. Without a nuanced examination of the studies that comprise, or were omitted from, the meta-analyses, details relevant to the care of patients with medical cannabis may be overlooked.

Gaps in Comprehensive Reviews

All analyses and reviews have limitations that may include their stated goals, search terms, search resources, and other methodological factors.

From this review, as indicated in Appendix B, moderate- to high-quality evidence is available for effective treatment of cannabis for the following conditions:

- Cachexia
- Chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting
- Pain (resulting from cancer or rheumatoid arthritis)
- Chronic pain (resulting from fibromyalgia)
- Neuropathies (resulting from HIV/AIDS, MS, or diabetes)
- Spasticity (from MS or spinal cord injury)

However, the evidence supporting the efficacy of cannabinoids for the treatment of these conditions is limited to the populations, symptoms, formulations, dosages, and administration methods noted in Appendix B.

The literature review also identified three conditions, included in Appendix B, that are supported by a single moderate- to high-quality clinical study:

- Reduction of seizure frequency (Dravet syndrome and Lennox-Gastaut syndrome)
- Reduction of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) nightmares
- Improvement in tics (Tourette syndrome)

The conditions listed above require additional study to verify the findings of the current studies. This report separates the treatment populations involved in the two epilepsy studies. The evidence for CBD as an efficacious add-on therapy is specific to the treatment groups and as such does not represent high-quality evidence for CBD as an effective treatment. The FDA is currently investigating Epidiolex, the specific formulation of CBD used in the two seizure studies, and has approved the formulation for individual Investigational New Drug exemptions (“GW’s Epidiolex Program,” 2018).

A large number of anecdotal studies and news reports fuel interest in using cannabis for the treatment of PTSD symptoms (Gutierrez & Dubert, 2017) and severe epilepsy (“Medical Marijuana and Epilepsy,” 2017). Many states have implemented cannabis laws expressly for the treatment of epilepsy with CBD (NCSL, 2017). Despite the legislative landscape regarding CBD for epilepsy, more studies are needed to accurately assess the safety and efficacy of cannabis for the treatment of intractable epilepsy. The American Academy of Pediatrics (Campbell, Phillips, & Manasco, 2017) and the American Epilepsy Society (Filloux, et al., 2017) have made similar calls for further research.

Improvements in other symptomology might be attributed to the more general effects of cannabis—sedation, appetite stimulation and euphoria. Instead of cannabis treating underlying symptoms, these three general effects of cannabis may mask symptoms and increase a subjective sense of well-being, which could improve self-reported quality of life in some patients (Bain, Glickman, Carroll, & Zajicek, 2004; Greenberg et al., 1994).

Qualifying Conditions Without Clinical Evidence

Medical cannabis legislation includes a wide variety of qualifying conditions, some which have some scientifically supported efficacy for symptomology, and some conditions in which there is no clinical evidence of effectiveness (see Table 4). MMP qualifying conditions are not held to the same rigor as FDA standards for safety and efficacy. The process for inclusion in a list of qualifying conditions is variable and often not dependent on the literature.

Qualifying Conditions Without Cannabis Therapeutic Clinical Evidence	Shared Symptom With an Evidence-Based Qualifying Condition
Painful peripheral neuropathy, spinal cord injury, spinal cord diseases (arachnoiditis, Tarlov cysts, hydromyelia), neurofibromatosis, chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy, causalgia, Arnold-Chiari malformation, syringomyelia, complex regional pain syndrome, chronic radiculopathy	- Neuropathy
Residual limb pain, Sjogren's syndrome, interstitial cystitis, fibrous dysplasia, fibromyalgia, post laminectomy syndrome, sickle cell disease, arthritis, severe psoriasis, psoriatic arthritis	- Pain
Intractable skeletal muscular spasticity, spastic quadriplegia, Tourette's syndrome, spinocerebellar ataxia, muscular dystrophy, dystonia, cerebral palsy, Parkinson's disease	- Spasticity
Chronic traumatic encephalopathy, myoclonus	Seizures

Qualifying Conditions Without Cannabis Therapeutic Clinical Evidence	Shared Symptom With an Evidence-Based Qualifying Condition
(continued)	
Cystic fibrosis, anorexia	Wasting
Chronic pancreatitis	Nausea and vomiting
Nail-patella syndrome	Intraocular pressure (similar to glaucoma, which is not supported by quality evidence)
Huntington's disease, post-concussion syndrome, myasthenia gravis, lupus, hydrocephalus, mitochondrial disease, autism, decompensated cirrhosis, ulcerative colitis, migraine, Alzheimer's disease, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis	- Diseases with multiple shared/similar symptoms

A review of all jurisdictional legislation indicates that, of the 31 jurisdictions with some legalized form of cannabis or

Studies in MS patients indicate THC use may also cause indirect behavioral benefits in the subjective improvement in c

Pregnancy and neonates. The meta-analysis conducted by Gunn and colleagues (2016) indicates that exposure to cannabis in utero is associated with an increased risk of decreased birthweight and higher odds of the newborn being placed in a neonatal intensive care unit. The pooled dataset also showed a greater risk of anemia in mothers who had used cannabis during pregnancy. Only one preclinical study assessed the signaling pathways affected by prenatal THC exposure. This preclinical study found that early exposure in utero disrupts endocannabinoid signaling and results in noticeable rewiring of mice fetal cortical circuits (Tortoriello et al., 2014).

Presently, there are no reliable data for neurodevelopmental outcomes with early exposure to cannabis in neonatal life, either breastfeeding or secondhand inhalation (Jaques et al., 2014; Jutras-Aswad, DiNieri, Harkany, & Hurd, 2009; Volkow, Compton, & Weiss, 2014). THC can be detected in breast milk shortly after use; however, the effects of THC in breast milk on neonatal development and neurologic function is currently unknown (Baker et al., 2018). A number of low-quality observational studies attempted to elucidate patterns of use and developmental outcomes, but their methods were imprecise or lacked long-term evaluation (cited in Gunn et al., 2016)

Immunocompromised patients. Cannabis and cannabinoid preparations (gels, tinctures, drops, sprays) can pose a serious risk to immunocompromised patients if not prepared in a sterile environment (National Academies, 2017; Thompson et al., 2017). Many jurisdictions require laboratory testing of cannabis for contaminants (Rough, 2017). The local Department of Health and MMP will provide more information on the quality-assurance practices in a specific jurisdiction.

Dyskinesia. It is highly likely that cannabis will exacerbate symptoms of poor balance and posture in patients with dyskinesia disorders (Greenberg et al., 1994; GW Pharmaceuticals, 2015).

Altered cognition. Research regarding cognitive deficits is more abundant in healthy adult participants. Insufficient evidence exists for cognitive effects in individuals with conditions that already may affect cognition (Weier & Hall, 2017). The research that does exist suggests that patients who suffer from diseases with neurologic symptomology may show greater cognitive impairment (reviewed in Walsh et al., 2017). This exacerbation of symptoms may decrease the overall effectiveness of cannabis as a treatment in such patients (Koppel et al., 2014). Clinical studies have shown that patients with MS who smoke cannabis at least once a week show an increase in cognitive impairment and are twice as likely to be classified as globally cognitively impaired as those who do not use cannabis (Koppel et al., 2014).

Cognitive impairment by cannabis may be dose- and age-dependent (Crean et al., 2011; Solowij & Pesa, 2012). Insufficient clinical data exist on the cognitive impairment of healthy children and adolescents.

Mania and predisposition to mania. There is a significant relationship between cannabis use and subsequent exacerbation and onset of bipolar disorder manic symptoms, with a roughly threefold increased risk of new onset of manic symptoms (Gunn et al., 2015). Individuals with bipolar disorder and a cannabis use disorder also have an increased risk of suicide attempts (Carrà, Bartoli, Crocamo, Brady, & Clerici, 2014). However, these findings are not conclusive for causality.

The observed correlation of cannabis use that precedes or coincides with the manic symptoms of bipolar disorder, as well as the association between cannabis use and new-onset manic symptoms and depressive disorders, suggests a tentative causal role of cannabis on the development of bipolar disorder symptoms (Baethge et al., 2008; Lev-Ran et al., 2014).

Schizophrenia. While accumulating evidence suggests a link between cannabis exposure and schizophrenia, no research exists that can conclude that cannabis use causes schizophrenia (Walsh et al., 2017). Research supports a correlation between cannabis abuse and significantly more and earlier psychotic relapses among schizophrenic patients (Linszen, Dingemans, & van Os, 1994). The literature on cannabis and schizophrenia is scant and spread across low-quality studies and morphologic studies. A comprehensive overview of cannabis and psychosis, schizophrenia, and schizophreniform disorder can be found in Walsh, Radhakrishnan, and D'Souza (2014).

Preliminary evidence suggests cannabis use is associated with an earlier age of onset for schizophrenia among prodromal male patients by an average of 2.7 years (Large, Sharma, Compton, Slade, & Nielssen, 2011). Some propose that individuals predisposed to schizophrenia will experience their first schizophrenic episode earlier if cannabis is used daily in the prodromal phase (Large et al., 2011; Walsh et al., 2017). Cumulative cannabis exposure is associated with an increased rate of onset of psychosis (Kelley et al., 2016).

Preexisting conditions. Individuals with asthma, bronchitis, emphysema, or any pulmonary disease should not use inhaled cannabis.

Additionally, individuals with a history of suicide attempt or who are at risk for suicide and those with schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, or other psychotic condition should be informed about the risks of cannabis use and be advised to not use cannabis. Individuals with PTSD may experience distinct adverse outcomes if they also develop cannabis use disorder and should be monitored closely (Walsh et al., 2017).

Overdose, abuse, dependence, and withdrawal

Overdose. Cannabinoid receptors are effectively absent in the brainstem cardiorespiratory centers (Glass, Faull, & Dragunov, 2004). This is believed to preclude the possibility of a fatal overdose from cannabinoid intake. References to overdose in cannabis use relate to situations in which patients have higher than normal blood concentrations of cannabinoids, usually from overconsumption of edible THC products (Cao, Srisuma, Bronstein, & Hoyte, 2016). These increased concentrations cause prolonged and often severe, but self-limiting, psychotic symptoms, including delirium, acute paranoia, and acute agitation. In some cases, these adverse effects can possibly increase the risk of fatalities.

Using biochemical information, Yamaori, Kushihara, Yamamoto, and Watanabe (2010) and Yamaori, Ebisawa, Okushi, Yamamoto, and Watanabe (2011) determined that cannabinoids, particularly CBD, competitively inhibit cytochrome P450 (CYP

Dosing Considerations

The only FDA-approved dosing guidelines for cannabinoids are for the drugs dronabinol and nabilone. These two formul

TABLE 5

Cost of Cannabinoids (U.S. Dollars)*

Drug Name	Price Averages
Sativex	A vial with 15 sprays costs \$22 dollars/vial. Average dose of 5 sprays per day yields \$7/day and \$51/week. This price was derived from the 2005 Patented Medicine Prices Review Board of Canada (www.pmr-prb-cepmb.gc.ca) report on Sativex. Available in Canada. Not available in the United States (undergoing FDA FastTrack trials).
Cesamet (nabilone) Schedule II Controlled Substance	~\$2,000 for 50/1-mg capsules. Wide variance in effective dose per day (2mg to 10mg). Average dose of 2mg/day yields \$80/day. FDA approved. Not covered by Medicare.
Marinol (dronabinol) Schedule III Controlled Substance	\$140–\$271.05 for 60/2.5-mg capsules, \$150–\$281.95 for 30/5-mg capsules, \$500–\$1,019.40 for 60/10-mg capsules. Average dose of 5mg–10mg/day yields \$8–\$16/day without insurance. FDA approved. Covered by Medicare. Insurance may cover 3%–99% of costs.
Medical cannabis	~\$150–\$200 for 28g as the low end of possible dispensary prices in the United States. (Colorado Department of Revenue, 2015; Hickey, 2014; “Is it Cheaper to Buy,” 2016) A starting dose of 5% THC per cannabis cigarette and the goal of 2.5mg absorbed THC requires 0.60g–1g of cannabis per dose. For pain, this may require four or more doses per day. This regimen could result in \$600/month for management of pain using smoked cannabis. Patient cultivation regulations may reduce this cost. (This price estimate is approximate for all products sold at U.S. medical dispensaries.)

*Price ranges collated from www.goodrx.com, www.webmd.com, and www.wellrx.com

Nursing Implications

Nurses need practical information to care for the increasing number of patients who utilize cannabis via an MMP as well as a larger population who self-administer cannabis as a treatment for various symptomatology or for recreational purposes. As previously, evidence for cannabis use in described conditions is limited by inadequate study and limited legal availability of cannabis for research purposes. Statutory authorization of cannabis use for certain conditions has been influenced by advocacy; and in some qualifying conditions are present in statutes without evidence of their effect. Regardless of existing evidence, individuals using cannabis and nurses will care for these patients. The studies and literature in this report should inform nursing practice that represents the best interests of the patient.

Six Principles of Essential Knowledge

1. The nurse shall have a working knowledge of the current state of legalization of medical and recreational cannabis use.

Critical to the care of patients who use cannabis is a working knowledge of the current state of legalization of medical and recreational cannabis use. Knowledge of the federal government prohibitions and any guidance from the federal government is essential for the nurse to be well informed regarding potential questions about the legality of the use of cannabis as a medical treatment.

Although the use of marijuana pursuant to authorized MMPs conflicts with federal law and regulations, at present there is no controlling case law holding that Congress intended to preempt the field of regulation of cannabis use under its supremacy (Beek v. City of Wyoming, 2014; Mikos, 2012).

2. The nurse shall have a working knowledge of the jurisdiction's MMP.

Rules and statutes for the MMP include specific information for the particular jurisdiction. Each jurisdiction has widely different laws, rules, and regulations regarding medical cannabis. The jurisdiction's MMP or Department of Health will provide the specific details in each jurisdiction (NCSL, 2017). The laws regarding the MMPs are frequently changing. Safe nursing practice includes an awareness of any regulatory changes that may affect their practice.

Usually, a medication is prescribed with a specific dose, route, and frequency. A health care provider, however, cannot prescribe medical cannabis; the provider certifies that the patient has a state qualifying condition. Several jurisdictions identify APRN as one of the health care providers who can certify that a patient has a qualifying condition. Access to medical cannabis can only be obtained once the patient visits a state-authorized cannabis dispensary with a valid registration to the MMP. The process of the certification is different from any other substance recommended to a patient by a health care provider. An APRN certification process presents a special set of implications (NCSL, 2017). A medical certification is not required for FDA-approved cannabinoids (dronabinol and nabilone) and these medications may be prescribed without registration with an MMP.

Health care practitioners who certify that a patient has a qualifying condition need to consider all aspects of the patient's history, diagnostic information, and mitigating concerns. Precautions should be taken in the consideration of, and decision

The care of patients by nurses in any capacity is grounded in ethical practice, that is, the moral principles that guide conduct. Beneficence, nonmaleficence, autonomy, fairness, and loyalty are some of the more common moral principles that guide one's conduct. In addition to personal ethics, nurses are also guided by standards of practice, which are based on professional codes of ethics, and/or a code of ethics. Awareness of one's own beliefs and attitudes about any therapeutic intervention is vital, as nurses are expected to provide patient care without personal judgment of patients.

Although medical cannabis legislation is evolving and more jurisdictions are adopting MMPs, social acceptance may be evolving at the same pace. In addition, scientific evidence for cannabis use exists for some but not all conditions. The evolution of legislation, social acceptance, and scientific evidence creates ethically challenging patient care situations. Ethical decision

The NCSBN National Nursing Guidelines for Medical Marijuana

Nursing Care of the Patient Using Medical Marijuana

Medical Marijuana Education in Pre-Licensure Nursing Programs

Medical Marijuana Education in APRN Nursing Programs

APRNs Certifying a Medical Marijuana Qualifying Condition

Recommendations

Essential Knowledge

1. The nurse shall have a working knowledge of the current state of legalization of medical and recreational cannabis use.

The Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA) classifies cannabis as a Schedule I Controlled Substance. This classification not only prohibits practitioners from prescribing cannabis, it also prohibits most research using cannabis.

The process for obtaining cannabis for federally funded research purposes is cumbersome. Currently, the only legal source of cannabis for research purposes is grown in limited quantities at the University of Mississippi. The DEA sets an annual quota for cannabis grown for research purposes.

Over 31 jurisdictions (including the District of Columbia), Guam, and Puerto Rico passed legislation legalizing cannabis for medical purposes. In these laws, the jurisdiction has adopted exemptions legalizing the use of cannabis for medical purposes. Although the use of marijuana pursuant to authorized MMPs conflicts with federal law and regulations, at present there is no controlling case law holding that Congress intended to preempt the field of regulation of cannabis use under its supremacy powers.

An increasing proportion of jurisdictions have also decriminalized or legalized recreational cannabis use.

The federal government's position on prosecuting the use of cannabis that is legal under applicable jurisdiction law has been set out in U.S. Department of Justice position papers. In 2009, the U.S. Attorney General took a position that discourages federal

spasticity (from MS or spinal cord injury).

b. Adverse effects of cannabis use are influenced by the patient's condition and current medications

The patient's propensity for the following may be exacerbated by cannabis: increased heart rate, increased appetite, sleepiness, dizziness, decreased blood pressure, dry mouth/dry eyes, decreased urination, hallucination, paranoia, anxiety, impaired attention, memory, and psychomotor performance.

Cannabis may exacerbate symptoms associated with asthma, bronchitis, and emphysema; cardiac disease; and alcohol or other drug dependence.

Cognitive impairment by cannabis may be dose- and age-dependent.

It is highly likely that cannabis will exacerbate symptoms of poor balance and posture in patients with dyskinetic disorders.

Similarly, cannabis may worsen mental faculties in conditions that cause cognitive deficits. Patients who suffer from d5 (w)0.65 (o)0.55 (o)0.65 (o)0.55(128.357 5anc)0.5 (f)0

- 19 Pacher et al. The endocannabinoid system as an emerging target of pharmacotherapy. *Pharmacological Reviews* 2006; 58: 389-462. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2241751/>
- 20 National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (National Academies of Sciences). (2017). *The Health Effects of Cannabis and Cannabinoids: The Current Jurisdiction of Evidence and Recommendations for Research*. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press; Madras, B. (2015). Update of cannabis and its medical use. Retrieved from http://www.who.int/medicines/access/controlled-substances/6_2_cannabis_update.pdf
- 21 Federal Drug Administration. (September 2004). Marinol (Dronabinol) Capsules. Retrieved from <https://www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/dockets/05n0479/05N-0479-emc0004-04.pdf>
- 22 Hall, W., & Solowij, N. (1998). Adverse effects of cannabis. *The Lancet*, 352(9140), 1611-1616; Tashkin, D. P. (2013). Effects of marijuana smoking on the lung. *Annals of the American Thoracic Society*, 10(3), 239-247; Federal Drug Administration (FDA). (September 2004). Marinol (Dronabinol) Capsules. Retrieved from <https://www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/dockets/05n0479/05N-0479-emc0004-04.pdf>
- 23 Crean, R. D., Crane, N. A., & Mason, B. J. (2011). An evidence based review of acute and long-term effects of cannabis use on executive cognitive functions. *Journal of addiction medicine*, 11(1), 1-10; Solowij, N., & Pesa, N. (2012). Cannabis and cognition: short and long-term effects. *Marijuana and madness*, 1(1), 1-2.
- 24 Koppel, B. S., Brust, J. C., Fife, T., Bronstein, J., Youssof, S., Gronseth, G., & Gloss, D. (2014). Systematic review: Efficacy and safety of medical marijuana in selected neurologic disorders Report of the Guideline Development Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. *Neurology*, 82(13), 1556-1563.
- 25 Collin, C., Ehler, E., Waberszinek, G., Alsindi, Z., Davies, P., Powell, K., ... & Zapletalova, O. (2010). A double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, parallel-group study of Sativex, in subjects with symptoms of spasticity due to multiple sclerosis. *Neurological research*, 32(5), 451-459; National Academies of Sciences. (2017). *The Health Effects of Cannabis and Cannabinoids: The Current Jurisdiction of Evidence and Recommendations for Research*. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press; Madras, B. (2015). Update of cannabis and its medical use. Retrieved from http://www.who.int/medicines/access/controlled-substances/6_2_cannabis_update.pdf
- 26 Glass, M., Faull, R. L. M., & Dragunow, M. (1997). Cannabinoid receptors in the human brain: a detailed anatomical and quantitative autoradiographic study in the fetal, neonatal and adult human brain. *Neuroscience*, 77(2), 299-318.
- 27 American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.
- 28 Hesse, M., & Thylstrup, B. (2013). Time-course of the DSM-5 cannabis withdrawal symptoms in poly-substance abusers. *BMC psychiatry*, 13(1), 258; American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC: Author; Budney, A. J., Moore, B. A., Vandrey, R. G., & Hughes, J. R. (2003). The time course and significance of cannabis withdrawal. *Journal of abnormal psychology*, 112(4), 393-402.
- 29 Madras, B. (2015). Update of cannabis and its medical use. Retrieved from http://www.who.int/medicines/access/controlled-substances/6_2_cannabis_update.pdf; Crean, R. D., Crane, N. A., & Mason, B. J. (2011). An evidence based review of acute and long-term effects of cannabis use on executive cognitive functions. *Journal of addiction medicine*, 11(1), 5.
- 30 Park, B., McPartland, J. M., & Glass, M. (2004). Cannabis, cannabinoids and reproduction. Prostaglandins, leukotrienes and essential fatty acids, 7(2), 189-197.
- du Plessis, S. S., Agarwal, A., & Syriac, A. (2015). Marijuana, phyto cannabinoids, the endocannabinoid system, and male fertility. *Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics*, 15(12), 1588.
- Jaques, S. C., Kingsbury, A., Henshcke, P., Chomchai, C., Clews, S., Falconer, J., ... & Oei, J. L. (2014). Cannabis, the pregnant woman and her child: Weeding out the myths. *Journal of Perinatology*, 34(6), 417.
- Jutras-Aswad, D., DiNieri, J. A., Harkany, T., & Hurd, Y. L. (2009). Pn(t)0.5 (h)0.5 (s)0.5 ()TJ/T1_1 1 Tf [(P)0.5 (.)TJ -4 Pn(t)0.5 (h)0.5 (s)0.5 (.) [(J)0and Journal o2thi 0.5 (.)0.5 (n)0.5 (e)0.5 (,)0.5 (3)0.5 (7)0.5 (0)]TJ/T1_0 1 Tf 5.827

Medical Marijuana Education in Pre-Licensure Nursing Programs

Purpose of the Guidelines

Over 31 US jurisdictions (including the District of Columbia), Guam, and Puerto Rico passed legislation legalizing cannabis for medical use. Several other jurisdictions also have legalized cannabis for medical use. Each medical marijuana program has unique characteristics. In the United States, cannabis is a Schedule I Controlled Substance. Therefore, medical cannabis is unlike most other therapeutics in that providers cannot prescribe cannabis, nor can pharmacies dispense cannabis. However, applicable jurisdiction statutes and rules provide for the manufacture, distribution, and use of cannabis for medical purposes.

These recommendations for curriculum content provide nurses with principles of safe and know1L know1L know1L know1hiad reo(Ct5h) Tc Td r cuty when8 Tr-0.034 T25 0

Recommendations

1. The nursing student shall have a working knowledge of the current state of legalization of medical and recreational cannabis use.

The Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA) classifies cannabis as a Schedule I Controlled Substance. This classification not only prohibits practitioners from prescribing cannabis, it also prohibits most research using cannabis.

The process for obtaining cannabis for federally funded research purposes is cumbersome. Currently, the only legal source of cannabis for research purposes is grown in limited quantities at the University of Mississippi. The DEA sets an annual quota for cannabis grown for research purposes.

Over 34 jurisdictions (including the District of Columbia), Guam, and Puerto Rico passed legislation legalizing cannabis for medical purposes. In these laws, the jurisdiction has adopted exemptions legalizing the use of cannabis for medical purposes. Although the use of marijuana pursuant to authorized MMPs conflicts with federal law and regulations, at present there is no controlling case law holding that Congress intended to preempt the field of regulation of cannabis use under its supremacy powers.

An increasing number of jurisdictions are legalizing cannabis for medical purposes.

b. Adverse effects of cannabis use are influenced by the patient's condition and current medications

The patient's propensity for the following may be exacerbated by cannabis: increased heart rate, increased appetite, sleepiness, dizziness, decreased blood pressure, dry mouth/dry eyes, decreased

Some jurisdictions allow an employee of a hospice provider or nursing or medical facility, or a visiting nurse, to assist in the administration of medical marijuana.

Check the most current MMP statute or rules.

Check facility policy regarding medical marijuana administration.

References

- 1 National Conference of State Legislatures (NCSL). (2017). State Medical Marijuana Laws. Retrieved from <http://www.ncsl.org/research/health/state-medical-marijuana-laws.aspx>
- 2 Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act. (1970). 21 U.S.C. § §801 – 904.
- 3 U.S. Department of Transportation. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA). (2107). Marijuana-Impaired Driving A Report to Congress. Retrieved from <https://www.nhtsa.gov/sites/nhtsa.dot.gov/files/documents/812440-marijuana-impaired-driving-report-to-congress.pdf>
- 4 Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act. (1970). 21 U.S.C. § §801 – 904.
- 5 National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA). (May 2017). Information on Marijuana Farm Contract. Retrieved from [https://www.drugabuse.gov/drugs-abuse/marijuana/nidas-role-in-providing-marijuana-research/inform.5 \(l0.5 \(j\)0.5 \(u\)25 \(n\)0.5 \(g\)09.5 \(-\)00.5 \(h\)0.5 \(e v6 \(m.5 \(l0 \(i\)0.5 \(t\)0.5 \(u\)0.6\)TJ 0 \)0.5](https://www.drugabuse.gov/drugs-abuse/marijuana/nidas-role-in-providing-marijuana-research/inform.5 (l0.5 (j)0.5 (u)25 (n)0.5 (g)09.5 (-)00.5 (h)0.5 (e v6 (m.5 (l0 (i)0.5 (t)0.5 (u)0.6)TJ 0)0.5)

- 28 Hesse, M., & Thylstrup, B. (2013). Time-course of the DSM-5 cannabis withdrawal symptoms in poly-substance abusers. *BMC psychiatry*, 13(1), 258; American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC: Author; Budney, A. J., Moore, B. A., Vandrey, R. G., & Hughes, J. R. (2003). The time course and significance of cannabis withdrawal. *Journal of abnormal psychology*, 112(4), 392.
- 29 Madras, B. (2015). Update of cannabis and its medical use. Retrieved from http://www.who.int/medicines/access/controlled-substances/6_2_cannabis_update.pdf; Crean, R. D., Crane, N. A., & Mason, B. J. (2011). An evidence based review of acute and long-term effects of cannabis use on executive cognitive functions. *Journal of addiction medicine*, 14, 5.
- 30 Park, B., McPartland, J. M., & Glass, M. (2004). Cannabis, cannabinoids and reproduction. Prostaglandins, leukotrienes and essential fatty acids, 7(2), 189-197.
- 31 du Plessis, S. S., Agarwal, A., & Syriac, A. (2015). Marijuana, phyto cannabinoids, the endocannabinoid system, and male fertility. *Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics*, 32(11), 1575-1588.
- 32 Jaques, S. C., Kingsbury, A., Henshcke, P., Chomchai, C., Clews, S., Falconer, J., ... & Oei, J. L. (2014). Cannabis, the pregnant woman and her child: Weeding out the myths. *Journal of Perinatology*, 34, 417.

33

Medical Marijuana Education in APRN Nursing Programs

Purpose of the Guidelines

Over 31 US jurisdictions (including the District of Columbia),

Guam, and Puerto Rico passed legislation legalizing cannabis for medical use. Several other jurisdictions also have legalized cannabis for medical use.

Each medical marijuana program has unique characteristics. In the United States, cannabis is a Schedule I Controlled Substance. Therefore, medical cannabis is unlike most other therapeutics in that providers cannot prescribe cannabis, nor can pharmacies dispense cannabis. However, applicable jurisdiction statutes and rules provide for the manufacture, distribution, and use of cannabis for medical purposes.

These recommendations for curriculum content will provide advanced practice registered nurses (APRNs) with principles of safe and knowledgeable practice to promote patient safety when caring for patients using marijuana and when certifying a medical marijuana qualifying condition for a specific patient.

Definitions

Cannabis. Any raw preparation of the leaves or flowers from the plant genus *Cannabis*. This report uses “cannabis” as a shorthand that also includes cannabinoids.

Cannabidiol (CBD). A major cannabinoid that indirectly antagonizes cannabinoid receptors, which may attenuate the psychoactive effects of tetrahydrocannabinol.

Cannabinoid. Any chemical compound that acts on cannabinoid receptors. These include endogenous and exogenous cannabinoids.

Cannabinol (CBN). A cannabinoid more commonly found in aged cannabis as a metabolite of other cannabinoids. It is nonpsychoactive.

Certify The act of confirming that a patient has a qualifying condition. Many jurisdictions use alternative phrases such as “attest”

or “authorize”; however, 13 of 29 jurisdictions use “certify” language in their statutes.

Clinical research. An activity that involves studies that experimentally assign randomized human participants to one or more drug interventions to evaluate the effects on health outcomes

Designated caregiver. An individual who is selected by the Medical Marijuana Program qualifying patient and authorized by the Medical Marijuana Program to purchase and/or administer cannabis on the patient’s behalf. Also sometimes referred to as an “alternate caregiver.”

Dronabinol The generic name for synthetic tetrahydrocannabinol. It is the active ingredient in the U.S. Food & Drug Administration (FDA)-approved drug Marinol.

Endocannabinoid system. A system that consists of endocannabinoids, cannabinoid receptors, and the enzymes responsible for synthesis and degradation of endocannabinoids.

Marijuana A cultivated cannabis plant, whether for recreational or medicinal use. The words “marijuana” and “cannabis” are often used interchangeably.

* In Australia, cannabis for medical use is federally legal, with states allowed to implement as they see fit. Although Bermuda has not legislated use of marijuana, their Supreme Court ruled that citizens can apply for personal licenses to possess cannabis for medical use. Cannabis for medical use is federally legal in all provinces of Canada. In New Zealand, physicians may prescribe CBD and cannabis-based products.

Recommendations

1. The APRN student shall have a working knowledge of the current state of legalization of medical and recreational cannabis use.

The Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA) classifies cannabis as a Schedule I Controlled Substance. This classification not only prohibits practitioners from prescribing cannabis, it also prohibits research using cannabis except under rigorous oversight from the government.

The process for obtaining cannabis for federally funded research purposes is cumbersome. Currently, the only legal source of cannabis for research purposes is grown in limited quantities at the University of Mississippi. The DEA sets an annual quota for cannabis grown for research purposes. Applications to use this source of cannabis must be made to the FDA, DEA, and National Institute on Drug Abuse.

Over 31 jurisdictions (including the District of Columbia, Guam, and Puerto Rico) passed legislation legalizing cannabis for medical purposes. In these laws, the jurisdiction has adopted explicit language legalizing the use of cannabis for medical purposes. Although the use of marijuana pursuant to authorized MMPs conflicts with other general law and regulations, at present there is no controlling authority holding that Congress intended to preempt the field of regulation of cannabis use under its supremacy powers.

An increasing proportion of jurisdictions have also decriminalized or legalized recreational cannabis use. Accordingly, the federal government's position on prosecution of cannabis that is legal under applicable jurisdiction law has been set out in U.S. Department of Justice position papers. In 2009, the U.S. Attorney General took a position that discourages federal prosecutors from prosecuting people who distribute or use cannabis for medical purposes in compliance with applicable jurisdiction law; further similar guidance was given in 2011, 2013, and 2014.¹⁰ In January 2018, the U.S. Office of the Attorney General rescinded the previous nationwide guidance specific to medical enforcement. The 2018 memorandum provides that federal prosecutors follow the well-established principles in deciding which cases to prosecute, namely, the prosecution is to weigh all relevant considerations, including priorities set by the attorneys general, seriousness of the crime, deterrent effect of criminal prosecution, and culpability impact of particular crimes on the community.
2. The APRN student shall have working knowledge of the principles of an MMP.

MMPs are defined and described within the statute and rules of the specific jurisdiction. The relevant statute or rules are usually located through the jurisdiction's Department of Health and Human Services.¹² Laws and rules regarding MMPs are an evolving process. Always confirm use of the most recent versions. A health care provider does not prescribe cannabis. The MMP will specify the qualifying conditions and the process as well as the type of health care provider who can certify a qualifying condition.
3. The APRN student shall have an understanding of the endocannabinoid system, cannabinoid receptors, cannabinoid receptors, and the interactions between them.

The endocannabinoid system consists of endocannabinoids, receptors, and the enzymes responsible for synthesis and degradation of endocannabinoids. Discovered in 1973, this system includes a series of cannabinoid receptors throughout the body embedded in cell membranes. When stimulated by endocannabinoids, are thought to provide homeostasis. Endocannabinoids are naturally occurring substances within the body, while phytocannabinoids (plant substances that stimulate cannabinoid receptors) are found in cannabis. The most well known of these cannabinoids is tetrahydrocannabinol (THC), however, cannabidiol (CBD) and cannabivarin (CBN) are gaining interest in therapeutic use.
4. The APRN student shall have an understanding of cannabis pharmacology and the research associated with the medical use of cannabis.

Due to government restrictions on research involving cannabis, a range of legislation has outpaced research, leaving nurses with few options for patients who use medical cannabis. Therefore, information regarding medicinal use of cannabis must be derived from modern, high-quality evidence using randomized placebo-controlled studies. In particular, systematic reviews and meta-analyses are the most likely to elucidate causality in treatment. Research on cannabis is an evolving body of work. As with

perceived as inconsistent with the best interest of the patient (e.g., when an APRN recommends a treatment in which the APRN has a financial stake).

The APRN shall not certify an MMP qualifying condition for

- 27 Hall, W., & Solowij, N. (1998). Adverse effects of cannabis. *The Lancet*, 352(9140), 1611-1616; Tashkin, D. P. (2013). Effects of marijuana smoking on the lung. *Annals of the American Thoracic Society*, 1(3), 239-247; Federal Drug Administration (FDA). (September 2004). Marinol (Dronabinol) Capsules. Retrieved from <https://www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/dockets/05n0479/05N-0479-emc0004-04.pdf>
- 28 Crean, R. D., Crane, N. A., & Mason, B. J. (2011). An evidence based review of acute and long-term effects of cannabis use on executive cognitive functions. *Journal of addiction medicine*, 15(1), 5-12; Solowij, N., & Pesa, N. (2012). Cannabis and cognition: short and long-term effects. *Marijuana and madness*, 1(2), 1-2.
- 29 Koppel, B. S., Brust, J. C., Fife, T., Bronstein, J., Youssof, S., Gronseth, G., & Gloss, D. (2014). Systematic review: Efficacy and safety of medical marijuana in selected neurologic disorders Report of the Guideline Development Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. *Neurology*, 82(13), 1556-1563.
- 30 Calabria, B., Degenhardt, L., Hall, W., & Lynskey, M. (2010). Does cannabis use increase the risk of death? Systematic review of epidemiological evidence on adverse effects of cannabis use. *Drug and alcohol review*, 29, 318-330.
- 31 Glass, M., Faull, R. L. M., & Dragunow, M. (1997). Cannabinoid receptors in the human brain: a detailed anatomical and quantitative autoradiographic study in the fetal, neonatal and adult human brain. *Neuroscience*, 77, 299-318.
- 32 American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.
- 33 Hesse, M., & Thylstrup, B. (2013). Time-course of the DSM-5 cannabis withdrawal symptoms in poly-substance abusers. *BMC psychiatry*, 13(1), 258; American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC: Author; Budney, A. J., Moore, B. A., Vandrey, R. G., & Hughes, J. R. (2003). The time course and significance of cannabis withdrawal. *Journal of abnormal psychology*, 112(3), 309-312.
- 34 FDA. (September 2004). Marinol (Dronabinol) Capsules. Retrieved from <https://www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/dockets/05n0479/05N-0479-emc0004-04.pdf>; FDA. (May 2006). Cesamet (Nabilone) Capsules. Retrieved from https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drug-satfda_docs/label/2006/018677s011lbl.pdf
- 35 Grotenhermen, F. (2003). Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of cannabinoids. *Clinical pharmacokinetics*, 41(3), 322-360.
- 36 Haug, N. A., Kieschnick, D., Sottile, J. E., Babson, K. A., Vandrey, R., & Bonn-Miller, M. O. (2016). Training and Practices of Cannabis Dispensary Staff. *Cannabis and Cannabinoid Research*, 1(2), 251; Verweij, K. J., Zietsch, B. P., Lynskey, M. T., Medland, S. E., Neale, M. C., Martin, N. G., ... & Vink, J. M. (2010). Genetic and environmental influences on cannabis use initiation and problematic use: a meta analysis of twin studies. *Addiction*, 105, 405-430.
- 37 Karschner, E. L., Darwin, W. D., McMahon, R. P., Liu, F., Wright, S., Goodwin, R. S., & Huestis, M. A. (2011). Subjective and physiological effects after controlled Sativex and oral THC administration. *Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, 89, 403-407.
- 38 Hazekamp, A., Ruhaak, R., Zuurman, L., van Gerven, J., & Verpoorte, R. (2006). Evaluation of a vaporizing device for oral and the pulmonary administration of tetrahydrocannabinol. *Journal of pharmaceutical sciences*, 95(6), 1308-1317; Herning, R. I., Hooker, W. D., & Jones, R. T. (1986). Tetrahydrocannabinol content and differences in marijuana smoking behavior. *Psychopharmacology*, 90(2), 160-162.
- 39 Stockburger, S. (2016). Forms of administration of cannabis and their efficacy. *Journal of Pain Management*, 4(1), 38-41.
- 40 Hazekamp, A., Ware, M. A., Muller-Vahl, K. R., Abrams, D., & Grotenhermen, F. (2013). The medicinal use of cannabis and cannabinoids—an international cross-sectional survey on administration forms. *Journal of psychoactive drugs*, 45(1), 195-210; Kowal, M. A., Hazekamp, A., & Grotenhermen, F. (2016). Review on clinical studies with cannabis and cannabinoids 2010-2014. *Multiple sclerosis*, 6(1), 1515.
- Madras, B. (2015). Update of cannabis and its medical use. Retrieved from http://www.who.int/medicines/access/controlled-substances/6_2_cannabis_update.pdf; Crean, R. D., Crane, N. A., & Mason, B. J. (2011). An evidence based review of acute and long-term effects of cannabis use on executive cognitive functions. *Journal of addiction medicine*, 15(1), 5.
- Park, B., McPartland, J. M., & Glass, M. (2004). Cannabis, cannabinoids and reproduction. *Prostaglandins, leukotrienes and essential fatty acids*, 72(2), 189-197.
- du Plessis, S. S., Agarwal, A., & Syriac, A. (2015). Marijuana, phyto cannabinoids, the endocannabinoid system, and male fertility. *Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics*, 5(2), 157-158.
- Jaques, S. C., Kingsbury, A., Henschke, P., Chomchai, C., Clews, S., Falconer, J., ... & Oei, J. L. (2014). Cannabis, the pregnant woman and her child: Weeding out the myths. *Journal of Perinatology*, 34(6), 417.

APRNs Certifying a Medical Marijuana Qualifying Condition

Purpose of the Guidelines

Over 31 US jurisdictions (including the District of Columbia), Guam, and Puerto Rico passed legislation legalizing cannabis for medical use. Several other jurisdictions also have legalized cannabis for medical use. Each medical marijuana program has unique

Recommendations

Essential Knowledge

1. The APRN shall have a working knowledge of the current state of legalization of medical and recreational cannabis use.

The Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA) classifies cannabis as a Schedule I Controlled Substance. This classification not only prohibits practitioners from prescribing cannabis, it also prohibits most research using cannabis, except under rigorous oversight from the government.

The process for obtaining cannabis for federally funded research purposes is a cumbersome process and unlike any other drug research.

Currently, the only legal source of cannabis for research purposes is grown in limited quantities at the University of Mississippi.

DEA sets a quota for the amount of cannabis that can be grown for research studies. Applications to use this source of cannabis must be made to the U.S. Food & Drug Administration (FDA), DEA, and National Institute on Drug Abuse.

Over 31 jurisdictions (including the District of Columbia), Guam, and Puerto Rico passed legislation legalizing cannabis for medical purposes. In these laws, the jurisdiction has adopted exemptions legalizing the use of cannabis for medical purposes. Although the use of marijuana pursuant to authorized medical marijuana pro-

c7 56 55t0.5 (a)0.5ga,s(m0 Tw -]TJ -,)sfwithy funded0j EMC 0.5 bsp2ti0.6 gp70.5 (s3(d)0.5 ([10.5 .K)0U)0. -,fsecii Tf 0 T

and are the only trusted source of evidence for cannabis as a clinical intervention. Research on cannabis is an evolving body of work. As with any scientific literature, it is important to rely on the most recent high-quality evidence.

- a. Current scientific evidence exists for the use of cannabis for the following qualifying conditions:

Moderate- to high-quality evidence exists for

cachexia

chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting

pain (resulting from cancer or rheumatoid arthritis)

chronic pain (resulting from fibromyalgia)

neuropathies (resulting from HIV/AIDS, multiple sclerosis

[MS], or diabetes)

spasticity (from MS or spinal cord injury)

No human studies have confirmed evidence for neuroprotective, anti-inflammatory, antitumoral, and antibacterial effects of

6. The decision to certify the MMP qualifying condition is not to be predicated on the existence of a qualifying condition alone. The APRN shall consider the available scientific evidence for the specific qualifying condition prior to certifying the-qualifying condition including:
 - present scientific evidence for cannabis use with the specific qualifying condition
 - adverse effects according to the patient's clinical presentation
 - variable effects of cannabis
 - principles of dose titration
 - risks to particular groups of patients, such as those of childbearing age, pregnant, neonates, adolescents, and individuals at risk for substance abuse

7. The APRN shall determine the ongoing monitoring and evaluation of the patient.

Active participation via ongoing monitoring, patient diaries, follow-up appointments, and evaluation of patient responses

- 3 U.S. Department of Transportation. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA). (2107). Marijuana-Impaired Driving A Report to Congress. Retrieved from <https://www.nhtsa.gov/sites/nhtsa.dot.gov/files/documents/812440-marijuana-impaired-driving-report-to-congress.pdf>
- 4 Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act. (1970). 21 U.S.C. § §801 – 904.
- 5 National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA). (May 2017). Information on Marijuana Farm Contract. Retrieved from <https://www.drugabuse.gov/drugs-abuse/marijuana/>

- 33 Hesse, M., & Thylstrup, B. (2013). Time-course of the DSM-5 cannabis withdrawal symptoms in poly-substance abusers. *BMC psychiatry*, 13(1), 258; American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC: Author; Budney, A. J., Moore, B. A., Vandrey, R. G., & Hughes, J. R. (2003). The time course and significance of cannabis withdrawal. *Journal of abnormal psychology*, 112(3), 312.
- 34 FDA. (September 2004).

Quality Research, Evidence of Effectiveness of Medical Cannabis

The research studies in the table below were each evaluated using the GRADE scale (Cochrane Methods Bias, n.d. "What is GRADE?," 2012), a tool for assessing the quality of evidence, elucidating high, moderate, low, and very low evidence quality. All randomized experimental studies are initially rated as high quality; and observational studies began at low-quality rating. In this assessment, a study loses quality if it has serious risk of bias (from improper blinding of subjects and assessment, nonrandom sorting, patient dropout), confounding factors, imprecision, or inconsistency. Studies gain quality if the data show a large effect or dosage effect, or the study adequately controlled confounding factors.

The table below presents the moderate- to high-quality data asserting a positive effect of cannabis for qualifying conditions. The table preferentially displays therapeutic effects. Adverse effects and/or the absence of effect are not included in this table for when they add perspective to currently debated therapeutic applications. For example, Hallak and colleagues (2010) found no effect of CBD on schizophrenia symptomology. This is worth noting because CBD is often described as an antipsychotic (Hallak & Guy, 2006), though the details and applicability of this effect continue to be researched.

The table groups the studies according to conditions with significant evidence and are preferentially grouped by quality condition. The conditions are listed in bold and subcategories are listed in italics. For example, Freeman et al., 2006, has

References (Part I)

- Abrams, D. I. (2016). Integrating cannabis into clinical cancer care. *Current Oncology*, 23, 8-14.
- Abrams, D. I., Hilton, J. F., Leiser, R. J., Shade, S. B., Elbeik, T. A., Aweeka, F. T., ... & Deeks, S. G. (2003). Short-term effects of cannabinoids in patients with HIV-1 infection: A randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 139(4), 258-266.
- Abrams, D. I., Jay, C. A., Shade, S. B., Vizoso, H., Reda, H., Press, S., ... & Petersen, K. L. (2007). Cannabis in painful HIV-associated sensory neuropathy: A randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Neurology*, 68(7), 515-521.
- Aggarwal, S. K. (2016). Use of cannabinoids in cancer care: Palliative care. *Current Oncology*, 23, 33-36.
- American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.
- Anderson, D. M., Hansen, B., & Rees, D. I. (2013). Medical marijuana laws, traffic fatalities, and alcohol consumption.

- Collin, C., Ehler, E., Waborzinek, G., Alsindi, Z., Davies, P., Powell, K., ... & Zapletalova, O. (2010). A double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, parallel-group study of Sativex, in subjects with symptoms of spasticity due to multiple sclerosis. *Neurological Research*, 32(5), 451-459.
- Colorado Department of Public Health & Environment. (2016). Medical Marijuana Registry Program Statistics December 2016. Retrieved from https://www.colorado.gov/pacific/sites/default/files/CHED_MMR_Report_December_2016.pdf
- Colorado Department of Revenue. (2015, August 10). Marijuana equivalency in portion and dose retrieved from https://www.colorado.gov/pacific/sites/default/files/MED%20Equivalency_Final%2008102015.pdf
- Compassionate Use Act of 1996, Cal. Health and Safety Code § 11362.5 (1996).
- Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act, 21 U.S.C. § 801 – 904 (1970).
- Cooper, Z. D., Comer, S. D., & Haney, M. (2013). Comparison of the analgesic effects of dronabinol and smoked marijuana in daily marijuana smokers. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 38(10), 1984-1992.
- Corey-Bloom, J., Wolfson, T., Gamst, A., Jin, S., Marcotte, T. D., Bentley, H., & Gouaux, B. (2012). Smoked cannabis for spasticity in multiple sclerosis: A randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 184(11), 1143-1150.
- Cogle, J. R., Bonn-Miller, M. O., Vujanovic, A. A., Zvolensky, M. J., & Hawkins, K. A. (2011). Posttraumatic stress disorder and cannabis use in a nationally representative sample. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors*, 25(3), 554.
- Crean, R. D., Crane, N. A., & Mason, B. J. (2011). An evidence-based review of acute and long-term effects of cannabis use on executive cognitive functions. *Journal of Addiction Medicine*, 13(1), 5-11.
- Curtis, A., & Rickards, H. (2006). Nabilone could treat chorea and irritability in Huntington's disease. *The Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience*, 18(5), 553-554.
- de Graaf, M. (2017, January). Marijuana DOES cause schizophrenia and triggers heart attacks, experts say in landmark study that slams most of the drug's medical benefits as 'unproven.' *The Daily Mail*. Retrieved from <http://www.dailymail.co.uk/health/article-4114634/Marijuana-DOES-cause-schizophrenia-triggers-heart-a> (e)0.5 (a)0.5 (r)0.5 (t)0.5 (-)0.5v2u ht -M-j -0.8(Td [(R)0.5 (e)0.5 (r)0.5 (t)0.6 (s s.5

- Gunn, J. K. L., Rosales, C. B., Center, K. E., Nuñez, A., Gibson, S. J., Christ, C., & Ehiri, J. E. (2016). Prenatal exposure to cannabis and maternal and child health outcomes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open*, 6(4), e009986. Retrieved from <http://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/6/4/e009986>
- Gupta, S. (2014, March 11). Medical marijuana and 'the entourage effect.' Retrieved from www.cnn.com/2014/03/11/health/gupta-marijuana-entourage/index.html
- Gutierrez, G., & Dubert, M. (2017, November 30). Marijuana may hold promise in treating veterans with PTSD. Retrieved from <https://www.nbcnews.com/nightly-news/marijuana-may-hold-promise-treating-veterans-ptsd-n824956>
- GW's Epidiole® Clinical Program. (2018). Retrieved from <https://www.gwpharm.com/epilepsy-patients-caregivers/patients>
- GW Pharmaceuticals. (2015, April 22). GW Pharmaceuticals announces new physician reports of Epidiole® treatment effect in children and young adults with treatment-resistant epilepsy. GW Pharmaceuticals. Retrieved from <http://ir.gwpharm.com/releasedetail.cfm?ReleaseID=908097>
- Hall, W., & Solowij, N. (1998). Adverse effects of cannabis. *The Lancet*, 352(9140), 1611-1616.

Kowal, M. A., Hazekamp, A., & Grotenhermen, F. (2016). Review on clinical studies with cannabis and cannabinoids 2010-2014. *Multiple Sclerosis*, 15(15), 1515.

Krishnan, S., Cairns, R., & Howard, R. (2009). Cannabinoids for the treatment of dementia. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 15(2):CD007204. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD007204.pub2

Langford, R. M., Mares, J., Novotna, A., Vachova, M., Novakova, I., Notcutt, W., & Ratcliffe, S. (2013). A double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, parallel-group study of THC/CBD oromucosal spray in combination with the existing treatment regimen, in the relief of central neuropathic pain in patients with multiple sclerosis. *Journal of Neurology*, 260(4), 984-997.

Large, M., Sharma, S., Compton, M. T., Slade, T., & Nielsen, O. (2011). Cannabis use and earlier onset of psychosis: A systematic meta-analysis. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 68(6), 555-561.

Lev-Ran, S., Roerecke, M., Le Foll, B., George, T. P., McKenzie, K., & Rehm, J. (2014). The association between cannabis use and depression: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Clinical Pharmacy and Therapeutics*, 39(1), 1-11.

- U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Deputy Attorney General. (2011, June 29). Guidance regarding the Ogden Memo in jurisdictions seeking to authorize marijuana for medical use. Retrieved from <https://www.justice.gov/sites/default/files/oip/legacy/2014/07/23/dag-guidance-2011-for-medical-marijuana-use.pdf>
- U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Deputy Attorney General. (2013, February 14). Guidance regarding marijuana related financial crimes. Retrieved from <https://www.justice.gov/sites/default/files/usao-wdwa/legacy/2014/02/14/DAG%20Memo%20-%20Guidance%20Regarding%20Marijuana%20Related%20Financial%20Crimes%20%2014%2014%20%282%29.pdf>
- U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Deputy Attorney General. (2013, August 29). Guidance regarding marijuana enforcement. Retrieved from <https://www.justice.gov/iso/opa/resources/3052013829132756857467.pdf>
- U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Executive Office for United States Attorneys. (2014, October 28). Policy statement regarding marijuana issues in Indian country. Retrieved from <https://www.justice.gov/sites/default/files/tribal/pages/attachments/2014/12/11/policystatementregardingmarijuanaissuesinindiancountry2.pdf>
- U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Public Affairs. (2009, October 19). Attorney General announces formal medical marijuana guidelines. Retrieved from <https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/attorney-general-announces-formal-medical-marijuana-guidelines>
- U.S. Department of Transportation, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. (2017). Marijuana-impaired driving: A report to Congress. Retrieved from <https://www.nhtsa.gov/sites/nhtsa.dot.gov/files/documents/812440-marijuana-impaired-driving-report-to-congress.pdf>
- van Den Elsen, G. A., Ahmed, A. I., Verkes, R. J., Kramers, C., Feuth, T., Rosenberg, P. B., ... & Rikkert, M. G. O. (2015). Tetrahydrocannabinol for neuropsychiatric symptoms in dementia: A randomized controlled trial. *Neurology*,

Zajicek, J. P., Hobart, J. C., Slade, A., Barnes, D., Mattison, P. G., & MUSEC Research Group. (2012). Multiple sclerosis and extract of cannabis: Results of the MUSEC trial.

